

# Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

**CARBOHYDRATE** RESEARCH

Carbohydrate Research 338 (2003) 557-561

www.elsevier.com/locate/carres

# Note

# Chemical modification of chitosan. Part 15: Synthesis of novel chitosan derivatives by substitution of hydrophilic amine using N-carboxyethylchitosan ethyl ester as an intermediate $^{*}$

Hitoshi Sashiwa, a.\* Norioki Kawasaki, a Atsuyoshi Nakayama, a Einosuke Muraki, a Hirofumi Yajima,<sup>b</sup> Naoki Yamamori,<sup>c</sup> Yoshifumi Ichinose,<sup>c</sup> Junzo Sunamoto,<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Green Biotechnology Research Group, The Special Division for Human Life Technology, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, 1-8-31 Midorigaoka, Ikeda, Osaka 563-8577, Japan

<sup>b</sup>Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Science University of Tokyo, Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan <sup>c</sup>Marine Technology Laboratory, Nippon Paint Co., Ltd, 19-17 Ikadanaka-machi, Neyagawa, Osaka 572-8501, Japan <sup>d</sup>Niihama National College of Technology, 7-1 Yakumo-cho, Niihama, Ehime 792-8580, Japan

Received 19 June 2002; received in revised form 17 October 2002; accepted 18 November 2002

#### **Abstract**

The Michael type reaction of chitosan with ethyl acrylate has been investigated. Although this reaction was quite slow in the case of chitosan, the reiteration of the reaction was an effective means for increasing the degree of substitution (DS) of ethyl ester. The N-carboxyethylchitosan ethyl ester as an intermediate was successfully substituted with various hydrophilic amines, although the simultaneous hydrolysis of the ester to carboxylic acid also occurred. Water-soluble chitosan derivatives were obtained by substitution with hydroxyalkylamines and diamines. © 2003 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Chitosan; N-Carboxyethylchitosan ethyl ester; Michael reaction; Amidation

Chitosan 1 is the N-deacetylated product of chitin and an attractive material because of its biological properties such as immunological activity<sup>2</sup> or wound healing properties.3 Although some work has been reported to obtain water-soluble derivatives of chitosan by N-acetylation in aqueous medium, only chitosans with around 50% of deacetylation (DAC-50) or Nacetylation (NAC-50) were soluble in water.<sup>4</sup> Attempts have also been made to modify the molecular structure of chitin by introduction of groups such as carboxymethyl, dihydroxyethyl, sulfuryl, or phosphoryl groups etc.5 Recently, two papers have been published on the chemical modification of chitosan with methyl acrylate<sup>6</sup> or DAC-50 with ethyl acrylate<sup>7</sup> by Michael

Table 1 shows the preparation of N-carboxyethylchitin ethyl ester 2 by Michael reaction under the various conditions. Since chitosan is insoluble above pH 6.5, the reaction was performed under acidic conditions. At 25 °C, the reaction was quite slow, with the DS of CO<sub>2</sub>Et in the product being only 0.41 after 10 days. The reaction rate was substantially increased at 50 °C for the first 2 days but decreased after 2 days. Reiteration of the reaction9 was effective compared with elongation of reaction time (7 or 10 days), suggest-

reaction. A second modification of chitosan using Ncarboxyethylchitin methyl ester as a precursor to prepare chitosan-dendrimer hybrids was also reported.8 However, the detailed reaction profile of chitosan with ethyl acrylate was not described. Herein we report a detailed study of the Michael type reaction of chitosan with ethyl acrylate and subsequent reaction of N-carboxyethylchitin ethyl ester 2 as an intermediate. Aliphatic diamines or aminoalkylalcohols were successfully attached to ethyl ester 2 without any protection.

<sup>★</sup> For Parts 12–14 of this series, see Ref. 1.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors

E-mail addresses: h-sashiwa@aist.go.jp (H. Sashiwa), aibaseiichi@aist.go.jp (S.-i. Aiba).

ing that the ethyl acrylate was gradually hydrolyzing to carboxyl groups. The maximum DS under these conditions was 1.30 (CO<sub>2</sub>Et + CO<sub>2</sub>H), which is similar to the previous report using methyl acrylate (DS = 1.40; 5 + 5 + 5 days at 40 °C). The ethyl ester **2** was preferred to the methyl ester for the detailed structural analysis by H NMR, because the differentiation signal at  $\delta$  1.28 (CH<sub>3</sub> of CO<sub>2</sub>Et) allowed accurate determination of DS of ester groups (Scheme 1).

Several kinds of amine were reacted with ethyl ester 2 of the highest DS ( $CO_2Et = 1.17$ ) under the aqueous acidic conditions to give a homogeneous reaction mixture. The results are summarized in Table 2. Using 20 equiv of hydroxyalkylamines such as aminoethanol or aminopropanol, the substitution of amines to esters was 16–17%. Using 50 equiv of aminopropanol, the substitution of amine (DS of -NHR) was effectively increased. The substitution for 3c was also increased with increasing isopropylamine, although the reactivity was about half degree compared with hydroxyalkylamines. The low reactivity would be caused by the low reactivity of amino group linked to a secondary carbon (Me<sub>2</sub>CH-NH<sub>2</sub>). When using 2 equiv of amines to give 3a-c, there was little substitution (DS of NHR under 0.02, data not shown). The substitution of these monoamines, required excess amounts of reagent. The products possessing hydroxyl groups 3a and 3b dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O, although the product 3c was not. In accordance with the literature, an excess amount (20 equiv) of diamine such as ethylenediamine was used to prevent undesirable formation.<sup>10</sup> Therefore, crosslinks during the preparation of poly(amidoamine) (PAMAM) dendrimer 20 equiv of diamine was used for

Table 1 Preparation of N-carboxyethylchitosan ethyl ester 2  $^{\rm a}$ 

| Reaction conditions |            | DS                 |                   | Reaction rate b        |  |  |
|---------------------|------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Temperature (°C)    | Time (day) | CO <sub>2</sub> Et | CO <sub>2</sub> H | $\Delta DS/\Delta day$ |  |  |
| 25                  | 2          | 0.08               | 0.02              | 0.05                   |  |  |
| 25                  | 4          | 0.14               | 0.02              | 0.03                   |  |  |
| 25                  | 7          | 0.30               | 0.04              | 0.06                   |  |  |
| 25                  | 10         | 0.41               | 0.04              | 0.04                   |  |  |
| 50                  | 2          | 0.44               | 0.05              | 0.25                   |  |  |
| 50                  | 4          | 0.50               | 0.05              | 0.03                   |  |  |
| 50                  | 7          | 0.53               | 0.08              | 0.02                   |  |  |
| 50                  | 10         | 0.54               | 0.08              | 0.003                  |  |  |
| 50                  | 4 + 4      | 0.80               | 0.10              | 0.09                   |  |  |
| 50                  | 4+4+4      | 1.17               | 0.13              | 0.10                   |  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Ethyl acrylate, 10 equiv/NH<sub>2</sub>.

the reaction as with 3a-c. A similar reactivity (21–25%) was shown independent of the length of methylene chain 3d-f and gave water-soluble products. The hydrolysis of ester would be caused by the  $H_2O$  in the reaction mixture under the basic conditions in the presence of excess of amine and gave carboxyl groups as a major component (Scheme 2). The intermediate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Example for 0.05 = (0.08 + 0.02 - 0)/(2 - 0); 0.03 = (0.14 + 0.02 - 0.08 + 0.02)/(4 - 2).

Table 2
Reaction of various amines to ethyl ester 2 a

| Compound | R-NH <sub>2</sub>  | DS    |      |                   |                    |                   | Solubility <sup>c</sup> |              |
|----------|--------------------|-------|------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
|          |                    | equiv | -NHR | (% <sup>b</sup> ) | CO <sub>2</sub> Et | CO <sub>2</sub> H | $H_2O$                  | Aqueous AcOH |
| 2        | _                  | _     | _    | _                 | 1.17               | 0.13              | X                       | О            |
| 3a       | $HO(CH_2)_2NH_2$   | 20    | 0.20 | 17                | 0.15               | 0.95              | O                       | O            |
| 3b       | $HO(CH_2)_3NH_2$   | 20    | 0.19 | 16                | 0.10               | 1.01              | O                       | O            |
| 3b       | $HO(CH_2)_3NH_2$   | 50    | 0.43 | 37                | 0.05               | 0.82              | O                       | O            |
| 3c       | $(CH_3)_2CHNH_2$   | 20    | 0.11 | 9                 | 0.30               | 0.89              | X                       | O            |
| 3c       | $(CH_3)_2CHNH_2$   | 50    | 0.20 | 17                | 0.10               | 1.00              | X                       | O            |
| 3d       | $H_2N(CH_2)_3NH_2$ | 20    | 0.24 | 21                | 0.07               | 0.99              | O                       | O            |
| 3e       | $H_2N(CH_2)_4NH_2$ | 20    | 0.25 | 21                | 0.07               | 0.98              | O                       | O            |
| 3f       | $H_2N(CH_2)_6NH_2$ | 20    | 0.29 | 25                | 0.02               | 0.99              | O                       | O            |
| _        | $H_2NPh$           | 20    | 0    | 0                 | 0.40               | 0.90              | X                       | O            |
| 4        | ~                  | _     | _    | _                 | 0                  | 1.30              | O                       | O            |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Temperature, 70 °C; time, 1 day.

ester 2 was soluble in aqueous medium with AcOH and it could be kept in homogeneous state. It did not dissolve in organic solvents such as alcohols or other aprotic solvents. Therefore, the partial hydrolysis of esters was unavoidable under these conditions. The aromatic amine (PhNH<sub>2</sub>) did not react under the tested conditions and only partial hydrolysis of ester was observed. Moreover, the neutral water insoluble ester 2 was transformed to water-soluble sodium carboxylate 4 by treating with aqueous NaOH.

In this study, water-soluble chitosan derivatives possessing amine, hydroxyl, or carboxyl groups by using *N*-carboxyethylchitosan ethyl ester **2** as intermediate were obtained. This procedure can be utilized for the direct substitution of various bioactive amines without requiring protection groups.

#### 1. Experimental

### 1.1. Materials

Chitosan (Flonac C: NHAc = 0.15; Mn = 26,000; Mw = 75,000) was purchased from Kyowa Technos Co., Japan.

# 1.2. General methods

<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on JEOL A-500 NMR spectrometer. Molecular weight was determined by means of GPC using pullulan as standards (column, Tosoh TSK Gel G4000pwxl and G3000pwxl; eluent, 0.5 M AcOH-0.5 M AcONa buffer; temp, 40 °C; flow rate, 1.0 mL/min; detection, RI).

#### 1.3. N-carboxyethylchitin ethyl ester

Chitosan 1 (5 g) was dissolved in aq AcOH (AcOH = 1.5 mL and  $H_2O = 100 \text{ mL}$ ) and then diluted with EtOH (200 mL). To the solution was added ethyl acrylate ( $10 \text{ equiv/NH}_2$  in chitosan). After stirring at 25 or 50 °C for prescribed time, the reaction mixture was concentrated to approx 100 mL under reduced pressure to remove excess amount of ethyl acrylate and EtOH. The remaining mixture was dialyzed for 2 days and lyophilized to obtain *N*-carboxyethylchitin ethyl ester 2

Scheme 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>  $\% = [DS(-NHR)/1.17] \times 100.$ 

 $<sup>^{\</sup>circ}$  O, soluble; X, insoluble; concentration of sample = 1 mg/mL; H<sub>2</sub>O soluble sample was also dissolved at all pH region (pH 1–13).

in quantitative yield (example for the degree of substitution per one sugar unit (DS) of  $CO_2Et = 1.17$ : Mn = 12,000; Mw = 49,000).

#### 1.4. Reaction of amines with ethyl ester 2

Ethyl ester 2 (50 mg) was suspended in H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) and EtOH (100 mL), and then amine (20 equiv/CO<sub>2</sub>Et in 2) was added to the suspension. After stirring at 70 °C for 1 day, the mixture was concentrated to approx 10 mL under the reduced pressure to remove EtOH. The remaining mixture was dialyzed for 2 days and lyophilized to obtain 3 in quantitative yield.

# 1.5. Structural analysis

The DS of CO<sub>2</sub>Et and CO<sub>2</sub>H in **2** was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR in 0.5 M DCl/D<sub>2</sub>O from the peak area at  $\delta$  1.27 (-CO<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>) for DS (CO<sub>2</sub>Et) and 2.91 (-CH<sub>2</sub>-CO<sub>2</sub>R) for DS (CO<sub>2</sub>Et + CO<sub>2</sub>H) against 4.90–5.15 (H-1 of N-alkylated and N,N-dialkylated GlcN: 0.85 H). The DS values of NHR in **3a**-**f** were described in the following spectral data.

Data for **2**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  1.27 (s, 3.51 H,  $CH_3$  of  $CO_2Et$ ), 2.06 and 2.09(s, 0.31 H, NHAc and AcOH), 2.91 (s, 2.6 H,  $-CH_{2b}-CO_2R$ ), 3.31 (br, 0.85 H, H-2 of N-alkylated GlcN), 3.6-4.1 (m,  $N-CH_{2a}$  of N-alkyl group, H-2 of GlcNAc, H-3,4,5,6 of GlcN and GlcNAc), 4.24 (d, 2.34 H,  $-CH_2$  of  $CO_2Et$ ), 4.60 (br, 0.15 H, H-1 of GlcNAc), 4.90–5.15 (m, H-1 of N-alkylated and N,N-dialkylated GlcN).

Data for **3a** (NHR = 0.20): <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  1.27 (s, 0.45 H,  $CH_3$  of  $CO_2Et$ ), 2.06 (s, 0.45 H, NHAc), 2.91 (s, 2.6 H,  $-CH_{2b}-CO_2R$ ), 3.11 (s, 0.40 H,  $-CONH-CH_{2c}-$ ), 3.30 (br, 0.85 H, H-2 of N-alkylated GlcN), 3.6–4.1 (m,  $-CH_{2a,d}-$  of N-alkyl group, H-2 of GlcNAc, H-3,4,5,6 of GlcN and GlcNAc), 4.24 (d, 0.30 H,  $-CO_2-CH_2CH_3$  of  $CO_2Et$ ), 4.90–5.15 (m, H-1 of N-alkylated and N,N-dialkylated GlcN). DS of -NHR was determined from the peak area at  $\delta$  3.11 ( $-NHCO-CH_{2c}-$ ) against 4.90–5.15 (H-1 of N-alkylated and N,N-dialkylated GlcN: 0.85 H).

Data for **3b** (NHR = 0.19): <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  1.27 (s, 0.30 H,  $CH_3$  of  $CO_2Et$ ), 1.90 (s, 0.38 H,  $-CH_{2d}$ –), 2.06 (s, 0.45 H, NHAc), 2.91 (s, 2.6 H,  $-CH_{2b}$ – $CO_2R$ ), 3.11 (s, 0.38 H, -CONH– $CH_{2c}$ –), 3.30 (br, 0.85 H, H-2 of N-alkylated GlcN), 3.6–4.1 (m,  $-CH_{2a,e}$ – of *N*-alkyl group, H-2 of GlcNAc, H-3,4,5,6 of GlcN and GlcNAc), 4.24 (d, 0.20 H,  $-CO_2$ – $CH_2$ CH<sub>3</sub> of  $CO_2$ Et), 4.90–5.15 (m, H-1 of N-alkylated and N,N-dialkylated GlcN). DS of -NHR was determined at  $\delta$  1.90 ( $-CH_{2d}$ –) against 4.90–5.15.

Data for **3c** (NHR = 0.20): <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  1.17 (s, 1.20 H, C $H_3$  of -NHR), 1.27 (s, 0.30 H, C $H_3$  of CO<sub>2</sub>Et), 2.06 (s, 0.45 H, NHAc), 2.91 (s, 2.6 H, -C $H_{2b}$ -CO<sub>2</sub>R), 3.30 (br, 0.85 H, H-2 of N-alkylated GlcN), 3.6–4.1 (m,

 $-CH_{2a}$  and -CH of N-alkyl group, H-2 of GlcNAc, H-3,4,5,6 of GlcN and GlcNAc), 4.24 (d, 0.20 H,  $-CO_2$ – $CH_2$ CH $_3$  of  $CO_2$ Et), 4.90–5.15 (m, H-1 of N-alkylated and N,N-dialkylated GlcN). DS of -NHR was determined at  $\delta$  1.17 ( $-CH_3$ ) against 4.90–5.15.

Data for **3d** (NHR = 0.24): <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  1.27 (s, 0.21 H,  $CH_3$  of  $CO_2Et$ ), 1.90 (s, 0.48 H,  $-CH_{2d}$ –), 2.06 (s, 0.45 H, NHAc), 2.92 (s, 2.6 H,  $-CH_{2b}$ – $CO_2R$ ), 3.12 (s, 0.48 H, -CONH– $CH_{2c}$ –), 3.31 (br, 0.85 H, H-2 of N-alkylated GlcN), 3.6–4.1 (m,  $-CH_{2a,e}$ – of *N*-alkyl group, H-2 of GlcNAc, H-3,4,5,6 of GlcN and GlcNAc), 4.23 (d, 0.14 H,  $-CO_2$ – $CH_2$ CH<sub>3</sub> of  $CO_2$ Et), 4.90–5.15 (m, H-1 of N-alkylated and N,N-dialkylated GlcN). DS of -NHR was determined at  $\delta$  1.90 ( $-CH_{2d}$ –) against 4.90–5.15.

Data for **3e** (NHR = 0.25): <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  1.28 (s, 0.21 H,  $CH_3$  of  $CO_2Et$ ), 1.76 (s, 1.00 H,  $-CH_{2d}$ – $CH_{2e}$ –), 2.06 (s, 0.45 H, NHAc), 2.92 (s, 2.6 H,  $-CH_{2b}$ – $CO_2R$ ), 3.05 (s, 0.50 H, -CONH– $CH_{2c}$ –), 3.31 (br, 0.85 H, H-2 of N-alkylated GlcN), 3.6–4.1 (m,  $-CH_{2a,f}$ – of *N*-alkyl group, H-2 of GlcNAc, H-3,4,5,6 of GlcN and GlcNAc), 4.23 (d, 0.14 H,  $-CO_2$ – $CH_2$ CH<sub>3</sub> of  $CO_2Et$ ), 4.90–5.15 (m, H-1 of N-alkylated and N,N-dialkylated GlcN). DS of -NHR was determined at  $\delta$  1.76 ( $-CH_{2d}$ – $CH_{2e}$ –) against 4.90–5.15.

Data for **3f** (NHR = 0.29): <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  1.28 (s, 0.06 H, C $H_3$  of CO<sub>2</sub>Et), 1.42 (s, 1.16 H,  $-CH_{2e}$ – $CH_{2f}$ –), 1.69 (s, 1.16 H,  $-CH_{2d}$  and  $-CH_{2g}$ –), 2.07 (s, 0.45 H, NHAc), 2.93 (s, 2.6 H,  $-CH_{2b}$ –CO<sub>2</sub>R), 3.01 (s, 0.58 H, -CONH– $CH_{2c}$ –), 3.33 (br, 0.85 H, H-2 of N-alkylated GlcN), 3.6–4.1 (m,  $-CH_{2a,h}$ – of N-alkyl group, H-2 of GlcNAc, H-3,4,5,6 of GlcN and GlcNAc), 4.90–5.15 (m, H-1 of N-alkylated and N,N-dialkylated GlcN). DS of -NHR was determined at  $\delta$  1.42 ( $-CH_{2e}$ – $CH_{2f}$ –) against 4.90–5.15.

 $\begin{array}{ll} -{\rm C}H_{\rm 2a-h} -: & {\rm Chitosan-NH-C}H_{\rm 2a} - {\rm C}H_{\rm 2b} - {\rm CONH-C}H_{\rm 2c} - {\rm C}H_{\rm 2d} - {\rm C}H_{\rm 2e} - {\rm C}H_{\rm 2f} - {\rm C}H_{\rm 2g} - {\rm C}H_{\rm 2h} - {\rm NH}_{\rm 2} \end{array}$ 

# Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the grant of Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, Japan.

#### References

1. (a) Part 12: Sashiwa, H.; Kawasaki, N.; Nakayama, A.; Muraki, E.; Yamamoto, N.; Arvanitoyannis, I.; Zhu, H.; Aiba, S. *Chem. Lett.* **2002**, 598–599;

(b) Part 13: Sashiwa, H.; Kawasaki, N.; Nakayama, A.; Muraki, E.; Yamamoto, N.; Zhu, H.; Nagano, H.; Omura, Y.; Saimoto, H.; Shigemasa, Y.; Aiba, S. *Biomacromolecules* **2002**, 1120–1125;

(c) Part 14: Sashiwa, H.; Kawasaki, N.; Nakayama, A.; Muraki, E.; Yamamoto, N.; Aiba, S. *Biomacromolecules* **2002**, 1126–1128.

- 2. (a) Nishimura, K.; Nishimura, S.; Nishi, N.; Saiki, I.; Tokura, S.; Azuma, I. *Vaccine* **1984**, *2*, 93–99;
  - (b) Nishimura, K.; Nishimura, S.; Nishi, N.; Numata, F.; Tone, Y.; Tokura, S.; Azuma, I. *Vaccine* **1985**, *3*, 379–384;
  - (c) Nishimura, K.; Ishihara, C.; Ukei, S.; Tokura, S.; Azuma, I. *Vaccine* **1986**, *4*, 151–156.
- 3. (a) Okamoto, Y.; Minami, S.; Matsuhashi, A.; Sashiwa, H.; Saimoto, H.; Shigemasa, Y.; Tanigawa, T.; Tanaka, Y.; Tokura, S. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* **1993**, *55*, 739–742;
  - (b) Okamoto, Y.; Minami, S.; Matsuhashi, A.; Sashiwa, H.; Saimoto, H.; Shigemasa, Y.; Tanigawa, T.; Tanaka, Y.; Tokura, S. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* **1993**, *55*, 743–746.
- (a) Aiba, S. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 1991, 13, 40–44;
   (b) Kubota, N.; Tatsumoto, N.; Sano, T.; Toya, K. *Carbohydr. Res.* 2000, 314, 268–274.
- (a) Tokura, S.; Nishi, N.; Tsutsumi, A.; Somorin, O. Polym. J. 1983, 15, 485–489;
  - (b) Nishimura, S.; Nishi, N.; Tokura, S. Carbohydr. Res. **1986**, 156, 286–292;

- (c) Nishi, N.; Nishimura, S.; Ebina, A.; Tsutsumi, A.; Tokura, S. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **1984**, *6*, 53–54.
- Chemical modification of chitosan—Part 2: Sashiwa, H.; Shigemasa, Y.; Roy, R. Chem. Lett. 2000, 562–563.
- Aoi, K.; Seki, T.; Okada, M.; Sato, H.; Mizutani, S.; Ohtani, H.; Tsuge, S.; Shiogai, Y. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* 2000, 201, 1701–1708.
- (a) Chemical modification of chitosan—Part 6: Sashiwa, H.; Shigemasa, Y.; Roy, R. *Macromolecules* 2001, 34, 3211–3214;
  - (b) Part 9: Sashiwa, H.; Shigemasa, Y.; Roy, R. Carbohydr. Polym **2002**, 47, 201–208.
- 9. The reaction mixture at 4 or 4+4 days was purified by dialysis and lyophilized. The product (DS = 0.50 or  $0.80(\text{CO}_2\text{Et})$ ) was dissolved and used again for the Michael reaction.
- (a) Tomalia, D. A.; Naylor, A. M.; Goddard, W. A., III *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1990, 29, 138–156;
   (b) Tomalia, D. A.; Baker, H.; Dewald, J.; Hall, M.; Kallos, G.; Martin, S.; Roeck, J.; Ryder, J.; Smith, P. *Polym. J.* 1985, 17, 117–131.